

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/668,892	BENSON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mary Kate B. Baran	2857	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Mary Kate B. Baran.

(3) _____.

(2) Joel Benson.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 July 2006

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Attorney confirmed that no response has been sent.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MARC S. HOFF
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)